IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA SOUTHERN DIVISION

)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	Case No. 7:18-cv-29
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

ORDER TO SEAL

This matter presented by way of Motion to File under Seal by Defendant Dustin Ringle (hereinafter "Ringle"); AND IT APPEARING TO THE COURT that the Court, having reviewed the Motion, Brief in Support of Motion to File under Seal by Defendant Ringle filed on May 7, 2018, the Court orders as follows:

- 1. Counsel for Defendant *True's Custom Floor Covering, Inc.* consents to the motion to seal
- Counsel for Defendants EAN Holdings, LLC; Enterprise Leasing Company Southeast, LLC, and Enterprise Holdings, Inc. ("Enterprise Defendants") consents to the motion to seal.
- 3. Plaintiffs initiated this lawsuit by filing a complaint on January 9, 2018 in the General Court of Justice, Superior Court Division of Duplin County, North Carolina. On February

- 20, 2018, counsel for Enterprise Defendants filed a Notice of Removal to the Eastern District of North Carolina. (D.E. *1.)
- 4. The Complaint and subsequent Amended Complaint (D.E. *25) reference certain pending criminal charges pending against Ringle arising out of the accident referenced in the Complaint and Amended Complaint.
- 5. The Fourth Circuit utilizes factors to determine whether the records should be filed under seal, these factors including whether release would enhance the public's understanding of an important historical event, whether the public already had access to the information, whether the records are sought for improper purposes or promote a scandal. *Silicon Knights, Inc. v. Epic Games, Inc.*, 5:07-CV-275-D, 2008 WL 2019648 (E.D.N.C. May 8, 2008).
- 6. If the information sought to be kept private is so pervasive throughout the documents, redaction is not a sufficient alternative. *See Silicon Knights, Inc. v. Epic Games, Inc.*, No.5:07-CV-275, 2011 WL 902256, *2 (E.D.N.C. Mar. 15, 2011).
- 7. After examining the Answer of Defendant Ringle at issue, the Court finds that all contain confidential information where the risk of harm outweighs any public right to access and the alternatives to sealing are inadequate.
- 8. After publishing notice of the Motion to Seal of Ringle, the Court has not received any requests for public access to the records sought to be filed under seal, nor any requests for access pursuant to alleged First Amendment rights.
- 9. The Court has considered alternatives to sealing the records outlined in Ringle's Motion to Seal, but determines that the alternatives would harm Ringle's ability to participate

fully in this case, and also harm the Plaintiffs' ability to proceed forward in a timely manner with this case, as the viable alternative would be a motion and order to stay.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Answer of Defendant Ringle be filed and maintained under seal in accordance with Section T of the Court's Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedure Manual.

This the 9th day of July, 2018.

Howir W. Llonegan United States District Judge

Eastern District of North Carolina